

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/835,261	(04/12/2001	Hans-Michael Kuhl	22750/405A	5004	
26646	7590	06/06/2002				
KENYON	& KENY	ON	EXAMINER			
ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004 '				NGUYEN, KIMBERLY T		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1774	И	
				DATE MAILED: 06/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary		Part of F	Paper No. 4				
2) Notic 3) Inform U.S. Patent and To				y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-	152)				
Attachmen									
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	• •							
i	 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 								
i	See the attached detailed Office action for a list		•		annlication)				
*-	application from the International Bu	reau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	•	90				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		• •	<u> </u>	tage				
	Certified copies of the priority document Certified copies of the priority document			ion No					
4)	□ All b) Some c) None of. 1. Certified copies of the priority document	te have been reco	havid	,					
	Acknowledgment is made of a daim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☒ None of:	ii piionty under 3:	J J.J.O. & 113(8	i)-(u)					
I .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 3	5118 C & 110/a	a)-(d) or (f)					
1	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	annioi.							
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		MVII.						
'''	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re			oved by the examiner					
1110	Applicant may not request that any objection to the The proposed drawing correction filed on								
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce								
'	The specification is objected to by the Examine								
	ion Papers								
1	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ment.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.								
l '	Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•						
1	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
· · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.								
·	ion of Claims								
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
1 '=	<i>,</i> —			msecution as to the	merits is				
2a)□		his action is non-f	inal						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailines and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how ly within the statutory min will apply and will expire e, cause the application t	ever, may a reply be tir nimum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from o become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. Ithe mailing date of this come D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.				
Period fo	• •	VIC CET TO EV		(C) EDOM					
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app				ress				
	· ,	Kimberly T. Ngu	ven	1774					
	Office Action Summary	09/835,261 Examiner	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Art Unit					
	•			KUHL ET AL.					
		Application No.		Applicant(s)					

Application/Control Number: 09/835,261

Art Unit: 1774

DETAILED ACTION

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed April 12, 2001 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent, each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the term "compromising" is an improper transition term.

In claim 1, the phrase "characterized in that" is unclear.

In claim 1, the phrase "in the case of widths" is unclear.

In claim 1, the term "it" is unclear and should be replaced by the term which it refers to.

Further, there is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claim 1, the list of comonomers is in improper format and should be amended to a

Markush group form, if appropriate.

Claims 2-6 recites the limitation "it" in the first line of each claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 09/835,261

Art Unit: 1774

Claims 3-6 recites the limitation "the granular particles" in the first line of each claim.

#60ad

There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

In claims 2-6, the phrase "in and of themselves" is unclear.

#6 umsed

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Payn et al., U.S. Pat. No. 6,017,586.

Payn shows a cross-linkable floor covering comprising copolymers of ethylene and alpha olefins with 10 or more carbon atoms (column 15, lines 44-55). Payn further shows colored inorganic particles (column 10, lines 54-67). Payn shows an elongation of at least 10% (column 17 to column 18).

Payn does not show the specific thickness, width, percentages by weight of the copolymer and comonomer, and the melt-flow index as in instant claims 1 and 2. However, such ranges and percentages of weight are properties which can be easily determined by one of ordinary skill in the art. With regard to the limitation of the ranges and percentages of weight, absent a showing of unexpected results, it is obvious to modify the conditions of a composition because they are merely the result of routine experimentation. The experimental modification of prior art in order to optimize operation conditions (e.g. ranges and percentages of weight) fails to render claims patentable in the absence of unexpected results. All of the aforementioned

Application/Control Number: 09/835,261

Art Unit: 1774

1004

limitations are result effective as they control the strength, impact resistance, weatherability, tensile properties, and abrasion resistance. As such, they are optimizable.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kimberly T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 308-8176. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday, except on every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cynthia H. Kelly can be reached on (703) 308-0449. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Kimberly T. Nguyen Examiner June 1, 2002 CYNTHIA H. KELLY
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Cyst Hilley